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A series expansion is derived for the three-dimensional boundary-layer flow over a flat plate, arising from a general main-stream flow over the plate. The series involved are calculated as far as terms of order $\xi^{2}$, where $\xi$ is a non-dimensional parameter defining distance measured from the leading edge of the plate. The results are applied to an example in which the main stream arises from the disturbance of a uniform stream by a circular cylinder mounted downstream from the leading edge of the plate, the axis of the cylinder being normal to the plate. Calculations are made for shear stress components on the plate, and for the deviation of direction of the limiting streamlines from those in the main stream.

## 1. Introduction

The object of this investigation is the determination of the leading terms in an expansion in series for the laminar boundary layer near the leading edge of a flat plate, and such that the expansion is sufficiently general to correspond to a wide class of possible flows in the main stream over the plate.

The study of three-dimensional boundary layers on flat plates is greatly simplified by the absence of geometrical complications, and Blasius-type solutions of the equations have been derived by Hansen \& Herzig (1956). Previously, both Loos (1955) and Sowerby (1954) had discussed a special case of such solutions. These solutions relate to boundary layers associated with a special class of mainstream flows-namely, the class in which the streamlines form a system of translates. Nevertheless, they exhibit genuine three-dimensional effects, such as the divergence of the direction of limiting streamlines from the direction of the external streamlines, and the work of Hansen \& Herzig has been used by Cooke (1959) as a test for the accuracy of his approximate solutions. They are also exact solutions of boundary-layer equations, in the sense that the Blasius function is an exact solution of the two-dimensional boundary-layer equations. The solution given in this paper is more restricted in that it is an expansion in series, of which only the first few terms are derived. It corresponds, however, to realistic mainstream distributions, and serves to provide detailed information in the early stages of development of the boundary layer on a flat plate.

## 2. Boundary-layer equations, and transformation of co-ordinates

Let $O(x, y, z)$ be a system of rectangular Cartesian co-ordinates, with the plate situated in the half-plane $z=0, x \geqslant 0$. Then, if $u, v, w$ are appropriate components of the velocity $\mathbf{v}$ of the fluid, for steady flow the boundary-layer equations in a usual notation are

$$
\begin{align*}
u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} & =-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}+\nu \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}  \tag{2.1}\\
u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} & =-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}+\nu \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial z^{2}}  \tag{2.2}\\
0 & =-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}
\end{align*}
$$

and the equation of continuity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\partial p / \partial z=0$, the pressure $p$ is determined by the inviscid flow in the main stream; thus, if $U, V, W$ are velocity components for this flow,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}=U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}+V \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}  \tag{2.4}\\
& -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}=U \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}+V \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where now in these last relations the terms on the right are evaluated setting $z=0$, so that $U, V$ are treated as functions of $x, y$ only.

The curved main-stream flow over the plate may be considered as established by some disturbing body in a uniform main stream, such as a cylinder set with its axis normal to the plate; this is the example which has been chosen to illustrate an application of the general results. Complications due to the boundarylayer and wake effects associated with the cylinder may be avoided by placing the cylinder downstream from the leading edge of the plate, so that there exists a region of inviscid main-stream flow near the leading edge of the plate. Thus a representative length ' $a$ ' may be selected (in this instance the radius of the cylinder), and the following non-dimensional variables may be formed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=x / a, \quad s=y / a, \quad \eta=(U / 2 v a \xi)^{\frac{1}{z}} z . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The velocity components $U$ and $V$ are functions of $\xi$, $s$ only, and the velocity components in the boundary layer are expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=U \partial f(\xi, \eta, s) / \partial \eta, \quad v=V \partial g(\xi, \eta, s) / \partial \eta \quad \text { and } \quad w=(U \nu / 2 a \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} h(\xi, \eta, s) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

An alternative but more complicated approach here might be the use of an extension of Görtler's transformation for the two-dimensional case, with the possibility then of including other three-dimensional boundary-layer flows in addition to the flow over a flat plate. The above transformation is simply the three-dimensional equivalent of Falkner's transformation; for both transformations see, for example, Rosenhead (1963, Ch. VI).

Substitution in the equation of continuity (2.3), and one integration with respect to $\eta$ leads to the result (apart from an arbitrary function of $\xi$ and $s$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\eta f_{\eta}-f-\xi\left\{\frac{U_{\xi}}{U}\left(\eta f_{\eta}+f\right)+2 f_{\xi}+2 \frac{V_{s}}{U} g+2 \frac{V}{U} g_{s}+\frac{V U_{s}}{U^{2}}\left(\eta g_{\eta}-g\right)\right\}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which a literal suffix denotes, as usual, differentiation with respect to the appropriate variable.

The boundary conditions on the functions $f, g, h$ are clearly

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\eta}=g_{\eta}=h=0, \quad \text { when } \quad \eta=0 \\
f_{\eta}, g_{\eta} \rightarrow 1, \text { as } \eta \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

The boundary condition on $h$ is evidently satisfied provided $f$ and $g$ satisfy also the conditions

$$
f=g=f_{\xi}=g_{s}=0, \quad \text { when } \quad \eta=0
$$

Thus a complete set of boundary conditions for $f$ and $g$ is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
f=f_{\eta}=f_{5}=g=g_{\eta}=g_{s}=0, \text { when } \eta=0,  \tag{2.9}\\
f_{\eta}, g_{\eta} \rightarrow 1, \text { as } \eta \rightarrow \infty .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The coefficients arising from combinations of $U, V$ and their derivatives, which occur in result (2.8), also arise in the transformed equations of motion, and it is convenient here to define these combinations as new functions of $\xi$ and $s$.

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=V / U, \quad B=U_{\xi} / U, \quad C=V_{s} / U, \quad D=V U_{s} / U^{2}, \quad E=V_{\xi} / V \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Certain combinations of these functions occur later, and may be stated here. These are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
F=B+2 C-D,  \tag{2.11}\\
G=2(B+D) \\
K=2(C+E-B-D) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It is assumed that $U$ and $V$ are non-zero, at least in some region extending downstream from the edge of the plate. The condition that $U$ should be nonzero (and also positive, incidentally) is the same as in the case of the two-dimensional Blasius boundary layer, but there is no physical reason why $V$ should not assume zero values along some curve or straight line. It is assumed in the analysis to follow that $V$ is non-zero at the edge of the plate; the alternative, namely $V(0, s)=0$, will be discussed later, where it will be shown that this apparently exceptional case is indeed covered by the general results.

With regard to relations (2.10) it is evident that for a two-dimensional main stream in planes parallel to the plate the functions $A, \ldots, E$ reduce to four in number, since the equation of continuity is
and hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial U / \partial x+\partial V / \partial y=0, \\
B=-C . \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

In general, however, this relation is not valid. For a three-dimensional main stream (such as, for example, a uniform stream disturbed by a hemisphere
placed with its base on the plate) the equation of continuity is

$$
\partial U / \partial x+\partial V / \partial y+\partial W / \partial z=0
$$

in which the term $\partial W / \partial z$ is not zero in general.
The analysis in the next section is based on the general case, but it will be seen that, in the circumstances in which relation (2.12) is valid, some reduction would be possible in the number of functions $f_{i j}, g_{i j}$ which are required to determine the velocity distribution in the boundary layer.

It remains now to state the transformed form of equations (2.1) and (2.2). With use of result (2.8), and the expressions for the pressure gradients, these equations are, respectively,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f_{\eta \eta \eta}+f f_{\eta \eta}+\xi\left\{2 f_{\xi} f_{\eta \eta}-2 f_{\eta} f_{\xi \eta}+B\left(f f_{\eta \eta}-2 f_{\eta}^{2}+2\right)+2 C g f_{\eta \eta}-D\left(g f_{\eta \eta}+2 f_{\eta} g_{\eta}-2\right)\right. \\
\left.+2 A\left(g_{s} f_{\eta \eta}-g_{\eta} f_{\eta s}\right)\right\}=0, \\
g_{\eta \eta \eta}+f g_{\eta \eta}+\xi\left\{2 f_{\xi} g_{\eta \eta}-2 f_{\eta} g_{\xi \eta}+B f g_{\eta \eta}+2 C\left(g g_{\eta \eta}+1-g_{\eta}^{2}\right)-D g g_{\eta \eta}+2 E\left(1-f_{\eta} g_{\eta}\right)\right. \\
\left.+2 A\left(g_{s} g_{\eta \eta}-g_{\eta} g_{\eta s}\right)\right\}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

## 3. Expansions in series

Equations (2.13) and (2.14), subject to the boundary conditions (2.9) may be solved by expansions in series of powers of $\xi$. It is assumed that the coefficients $A$ etc. are analytic functions of $\xi$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi^{n} A_{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $A_{n} \dagger$ are functions of $s$ alone. Similar expansions hold for the remaining coefficients, and the expansions for the velocity functions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_{n}^{n} f_{n}, \quad g=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi^{n} g_{n}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{n}, g_{n}$ are functions of both $\eta$ and $s$. These functions, in fact, must later be decomposed further into a sum of functions of $\eta$ alone, with coefficients in functions of $s$.

The boundary conditions on $f_{n}, g_{n}$ are, from (2.9),

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
f_{n}=\partial f_{n} / \partial \eta=g_{n}=\partial g_{n} / \partial \eta=\partial g_{n} / \partial s=0, \text { when } \eta=0,  \tag{3.3}\\
\partial f_{0} / \partial \eta, \partial g_{0} / \partial \eta \rightarrow 1, \text { as } \eta \rightarrow \infty, \\
\partial f_{n} / \partial \eta, \partial g_{n} / \partial \eta \rightarrow 0, \text { as } \eta \rightarrow \infty, \text { for } n \geqslant 1 .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The expansions above are substituted in equations (2.13) and (2.14) and coefficients of the various powers of $\xi$ are equated to zero. The terms independent of $\xi$ yield at once

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial^{3} f_{0} / \partial \eta^{3}+f_{0} \partial^{2} f_{0} / \partial \eta^{2}=0,  \tag{3.4}\\
& \partial^{3} g_{0} / \partial \eta^{3}+f_{0} \partial^{2} g_{0} / \partial \eta^{2}=0, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and in view of the boundary conditions on $f_{0}$ and $g_{0}$ these functions are clearly independent of $s$ and are equal, each being identical with the Blasius function for

[^0]two-dimensional flow. This is to be expected, since these results assert that close to the edge of the plate the boundary-layer flow is the Blasius flow as determined by local main-stream conditions.

For the powers of $\xi$ up to $\xi^{2}$, and with use of the equality of $f_{0}$ and $g_{0}$, the following equations are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{3} f_{1}}{\partial \eta^{3}}+f_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-2 \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta}+3 \frac{\partial^{2} f_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}} f_{1}+F_{0} f_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+G_{0}\left\{1-\left[\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta}\right]^{2}\right\}=0,  \tag{3.6}\\
& \frac{\partial^{3} g_{1}}{\partial \eta^{3}}+f_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{1}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-2 \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \eta}+3 \frac{\partial^{2} f_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}} f_{1}+F_{0} f_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+2\left(C_{0}+E_{0}\right)\left\{1-\left[\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta}\right]^{2}\right\}=0,  \tag{3.7}\\
& \frac{\partial^{3} f_{2}}{\partial \eta^{3}}+f_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-4 \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \eta}+5 \frac{\partial^{2} f_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}} f_{2}+3 f_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-2\left[\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta}\right]^{2}+F_{1} f_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+G_{1}\left\{1-\left[\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta}\right]^{2}\right\} \\
& +F_{0} f_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-2 \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta}\left\{\left(2 B_{0}+D_{0}\right) \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta}+A_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial s \partial \eta}+D_{0} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \eta}\right\} \\
& +\frac{\partial^{2} f_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\left\{B_{0} f_{1}+\left(2 C_{0}-D_{0}\right) g_{1}+2 A_{0} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial s}\right\}=0,  \tag{3.8}\\
& \frac{\lambda^{3} g_{2}}{\partial \eta^{3}}+f_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-4 \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \eta}+5 \frac{\partial^{2} f_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}} f_{2}+3 f_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{1}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-2 \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta}+F_{1} f_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \\
& +2\left(C_{1}+E_{1}\right)\left\{1-\left[\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta}\right]^{2}\right\}+F_{0} f_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{1}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-2 \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta}\left\{\left(2 C_{0}+E_{0}\right) \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \eta}+A_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{1}}{\partial s}+E_{0} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta}\right\} \\
& +\frac{\partial^{2} f_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\left\{B_{0} f_{1}+\left(2 C_{0}-D_{0}\right) g_{1}+2 A_{0} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial s}\right\}=0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

From the form of equations (3.6)-(3.9), and the fact that $f_{0}$ is a function of $\eta$ alone, it is evident that $f_{1}$ etc. can be expressed as linear combinations of functions of $\eta$ alone, with coefficients in functions of $s$. Fortunately, the similarity between the equations for $f_{n}$ and $g_{n}$ leads to some simplification in the expressions which are required for $g_{n}$ once the expressions for $f_{n}$ have been decided.

A prime is now used to denote differentiation of a function of one variable with respect to that variable, and the expressions for $f_{1}, g_{1}$ are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}=F_{0} f_{11}(\eta)+G_{0} f_{12}(\eta),  \tag{3.10}\\
g_{1}=f_{1}+K_{0} g_{11}(\eta),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $f_{11}, f_{12}, g_{11}$ satisfy respectively the ordinary differential equations
and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(L_{1}+3 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{11} & =-f_{0} f_{0}^{\prime \prime},  \tag{3.11}\\
\left(L_{1}+3 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{12} & =\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-1, \\
L_{1} g_{11} & =\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

in which the differential operator $L_{1}$ is defined by

$$
L_{1} \equiv \frac{d^{3}}{d \eta^{3}}+f_{0} \frac{d^{2}}{d \eta^{2}}-2 f_{0}^{\prime} \frac{d}{d \eta}
$$

The corresponding results for $f_{2}, g_{2}$ are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
f_{2}= & F_{0}^{2} f_{21}(\eta)+F_{0} G_{0} f_{22}(\eta)+G_{0}^{2} f_{23}(\eta)+F_{1} f_{24}(\eta)+G_{1} f_{25}(\eta)+\left\{K_{0}\left(2 C_{0}-D_{0}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 A_{0} K_{0}^{\prime}\right\} f_{26}(\eta)+2 A_{0} F_{0}^{\prime} f_{27}(\eta)+2 A_{0} G_{0}^{\prime} f_{28}(\eta)+2 D_{0} K_{0} f_{29}(\eta), \\
g_{2}=f_{2} & +F_{0} K_{0} g_{21}(\eta)+G_{0} K_{0} g_{22}(\eta)+K_{1} g_{23}(\eta)  \tag{3.12}\\
& +2\left\{\left(2 C_{0}-D_{0}+E_{0}\right) K_{0}+A_{0} K_{0}^{\prime}\right\} g_{24}(\eta),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and the differential equations satisfied by $f_{2 j}, g_{2 j}$ are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(L_{2}+5 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{21} & =-3 f_{11} f_{11}^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f_{11}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-f_{0}^{\prime \prime} f_{11}-f_{0} f_{11}^{\prime \prime} \\
\left(L_{2}+5 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{22} & =-3 f_{11} f_{12}^{\prime \prime}-3 f_{11}^{\prime \prime} f_{12}+4 f_{11}^{\prime} f_{12}^{\prime}-f_{0}^{\prime \prime} f_{12}-f_{0} f_{12}^{\prime \prime}+2 f_{0}^{\prime} f_{11}^{\prime}, \\
\left(L_{2}+5 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{23} & =-3 f_{12} f_{12}^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f_{12}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 f_{0}^{\prime} f_{12}^{\prime} \\
\left(L_{2}+5 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{24} & =-f_{0} f_{0}^{\prime \prime} \\
\left(L_{2}+5 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{25} & =\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-1, \\
\left(L_{2}+5 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{26} & =-f_{0}^{\prime \prime} g_{11}, \\
\left(L_{2}+5 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{27} & =f_{0}^{\prime} f_{11}^{\prime}-f_{0}^{\prime \prime} f_{11},  \tag{3.13}\\
\left(L_{2}+5 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{28} & =f_{0}^{\prime} f_{12}^{\prime}-f_{0}^{\prime \prime} f_{12}, \\
\left(L_{2}+5 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{29} & =f_{0}^{\prime} g_{11}^{\prime} \\
L_{2} g_{21} & =2 f_{11}^{\prime} g_{11}^{\prime}+2 f_{0}^{\prime} f_{11}^{\prime}-3 f_{11} g_{11}^{\prime \prime}-f_{0} g_{11}^{\prime \prime}, \\
L_{2} g_{22} & =2 f_{12}^{\prime} g_{11}^{\prime}+2 f_{0}^{\prime} f_{12}^{\prime}-3 f_{12} g_{11}^{\prime \prime}, \\
L_{2} g_{23} & =\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-1, \\
L_{2} g_{24} & =f_{0}^{\prime} g_{11}^{\prime},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
L_{2} \equiv \frac{d^{3}}{d \eta^{3}}+f_{0} \frac{d^{2}}{d \eta^{2}}-4 f_{0}^{\prime} \frac{d}{d \eta} .
$$

The boundary conditions on these functions are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
f_{i j}(0)=f_{i j}^{\prime}(0)=f_{i j}^{\prime}(\infty)=0  \tag{3.14}\\
g_{i j}(0)=g_{i j}^{\prime}(0)=g_{i j}^{\prime}(\infty)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

since the boundary conditions (3.3) evidently then are satisfied, bearing in mind the previous results for $f_{0}$ and $g_{0}$.

In the general case considered above, therefore, it appears that the number of functions of $\eta$ which must be determined to evaluate the flow to terms of order $\xi^{2}$ is no less than seventeen, and it is evident that this number would be greatly increased at the next stage. In the case of the two-dimensional main stream in which condition (2.12) is valid, the corresponding number of functions required for evaluation to order $\xi^{2}$ is eleven.

## 4. Modified results for the case $V(0, s)=0$.

The exceptional case mentioned in §2 is considered here. The component of main-stream velocity $V$ now has the form

$$
V=\xi r V^{*}(\xi, s)
$$

where $r$ is a positive integer and $V^{*}$ is an analytic function of $\xi$, with $V^{*}(0, s) \neq 0$. Hence $E$ is not analytic at $\xi=0$, since $E=V_{\xi} / V$.

Re-define the functions (2.10) so that they are based on $U$ and $V^{*}$; thus

$$
A=V^{*} / U, \text { etc. }
$$

The expression for $v$ is still

$$
v=V \partial g(\xi, \eta, s) / \partial \eta
$$

With the above changes, equations (2.13) and (2.14) become

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f_{\eta \eta \eta}+f f_{\eta \eta}+\xi\left\{2 f_{\xi} f_{\eta \eta}-2 f_{\eta} f_{\xi \eta}+B\left(f f_{\eta \eta}-2 f_{\eta}^{2}+2\right)+2 C \xi^{r} g f_{\eta \eta}-D \xi^{r}\left(g f_{\eta \eta}+2 f_{\eta} g_{\eta}-2\right)\right. \\
\left.+2 A \xi^{r}\left(g_{s} f_{\eta \eta}-g_{\eta} f_{\eta s}\right)\right\}=0, \tag{4.1}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{\eta \eta \eta}+f g_{\eta \eta}+2 r\left(1-f_{\eta} g_{\eta}\right)+ & \xi\left\{2 f_{\xi} g_{\eta \eta}-2 f_{\eta} g_{\xi \eta}+B f g_{\eta \eta}+2 C \xi^{r}\left(g g_{\eta \eta}+1-g_{\eta}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-D \xi^{r} g g_{\eta \eta}+2 E\left(1-f_{\eta} g_{\eta}\right)+2 A \xi^{r}\left(g_{s} g_{\eta \eta}-g_{\eta} g_{\eta s}\right)\right\}=0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The expansions for $f$ and $g$ are as stated in (3.2). Further progress now depends on specifying the value of $r$, since the object here is to relate new functions to the functions defined in §3.

Consider the case $r=1$. The boundary conditions on the various functions are as stated in (3.3), and, after the algebra of expansion of equations (4.1) and (4.2) and use of equations in the groups (3.11) and (3.13), the following results are seen to be true:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0} \text { is the Blasius function, as before, } \\
& f_{1}=B_{0}\left(f_{11}+2 f_{12}\right) \text {, } \\
& f_{2}=B_{0}^{2}\left(f_{21}+2 f_{22}+4 f_{23}\right)+\left(B_{1}+2 C_{0}-D_{0}\right) f_{24} \\
& +2\left(B_{1}+D_{0}\right) f_{25}+2\left(2 C_{0}-D_{0}\right) f_{26}+4 D_{0} f_{29}, \\
& g_{0}=f_{0}+2 g_{11} \text {, } \\
& g_{1}=f_{1}+2 B_{0}\left(g_{21}+2 g_{22}-g_{23}\right)+2 E_{0}\left(g_{23}+2 g_{24}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

in which the functions $f_{i j}, g_{i j}$ are as specified in $\S 3$.
Similar results may be derived for the case $r=2$, and it may be noted that in each case the expansions for the velocity components are available in terms of previous results as far as terms in $\xi^{2}$. Thus, in the case $r=2$, the functions $f_{0}$, $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $g_{0}$ are obtainable.

## 5. Numerical solution of the equations

Equations (3.4), (3.11) and (3.13), subject to the appropriate boundary conditions, were solved numerically on the IBM 1620 computer at Bristol University, and tables of the functions $f_{i j}, g_{i j}$ and their first and second derivatives are available on loan from the Editor of the Journal. As usual in computations of this type, where succeeding functions depend on functions previously calculated, numerical accuracy decreases owing to the presence of build-up error. However, $f_{0}^{\prime}$ is accurate to six decimal places, and it is safe to assume that $f_{1 j}^{\prime}$, $g_{11}^{\prime}$ are accurate to five decimal places, and $f_{2 j}^{\prime}, g_{2 j}^{\prime}$ to four decimal places.

The shape of the velocity functions $f_{i j}^{\prime}$ and $g_{i j}^{\prime}$ is shown typically by the graph of $f_{11}^{\prime}$ in figure 1 , and further information about these functions is contained in table 1. For all the functions, the first derivative becomes zero to four places of decimals when $\eta=5 \cdot 8$.


Figure 1. Graph of the function $f_{11}^{\prime}(\eta)$ defined in $\S 3$. For certain details of the remaining functions $f_{i j}, g_{i j}$ see table 1 .

|  | $X^{\prime \prime}(0)$ | $X(\infty)$ | Max./Min. $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}(\eta)$ | $\eta_{\boldsymbol{M}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{0}$ | $0 \cdot 469600$ | -- | - | - |
| $f_{11}$ | 0.11740 | $0 \cdot 30420$ | $0 \cdot 13699$ | $1 \cdot 6$ |
| $f_{12}$ | 1.03236 | 1-12637 | 0.55823 | 1.2 |
| $g_{11}$ | $0 \cdot 76790$ | $0 \cdot 56965$ | 0.31928 | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| $f_{21}$ | 0.0049 | -0.0634 | -0.0350 | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| $f_{22}$ | -0.1338 | $-0.6160$ | -0.2677 | $2 \cdot 0$ |
| $f_{23}$ | -0.7147 | -1.6745 | -0.7707 | $1 \cdot 6$ |
| $f_{24}$ | 0.0783 | $0 \cdot 2028$ | 0.0913 | 1.6 |
| $f_{25}$ | 0.9081 | $0 \cdot 8976$ | $0 \cdot 4477$ | 1.0 |
| $f_{26}$ | 0.0641 | 0-1307 | 0.0630 | $1 \cdot 4$ |
| $f_{27}$ | -0.0196 | $-0.0507$ | -0.0228 | $1 \cdot 6$ |
| $f_{28}$ | -0.0621 | -0.1144 | -0.0565 | 1.4 |
| $f_{29}$ | -0.0900 | -0.1628 | -0.0810 | 1.4 |
| $g_{21}$ | -0.0835 | -0.2602 | -0.1182 | 1.8 |
| $g_{22}$ | -0.3456 | $-0.6877$ | -0.3352 | 1.4 |
| $g_{23}$ | $0 \cdot 6594$ | $0 \cdot 4026$ | $0 \cdot 2408$ | 0.8 |
| $g_{24}$ | -0.0543 | -0.0835 | $-0.0442$ | 1.2 |

Table 1. Numerical values obtained from the solution of equations (3.4), (3.11) and (3.13). The column headings $X^{\prime \prime}(0), X(\infty)$ denote the values of the second derivative of the function at $\eta=0$, and the limiting value of the function as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$, respectively. Max./Min. $X^{\prime}(\eta)$ refers to the maximum or minimum value of the function, as appropriate, and $\eta_{M}$ is the value of $\eta$ at which this is attained.

## 6. Application of results

The example mentioned in $\S 2$ serves to illustrate an application of the general results. A circular cylinder of radius $a$ is mounted with its axis normal to the plate, and at distance na downstream from the edge of the plate, as shown in figure 2 . If the main stream has uniform velocity $U_{c}$ at infinity in the $x$-direction, the (potential) main-stream flow arising from the disturbance of the cylinder has components

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=U_{c}\left\{1+\frac{s^{2}-(\xi-n)^{2}}{\left\{(\xi-n)^{2}+s^{2}\right\}^{2}}\right\}, \quad V=-\frac{2 U_{c} s(\xi-n)}{\left\{(\xi-n)^{2}+s^{2}\right\}^{2}}, \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of the non-dimensional co-ordinates $\xi$, $s$. These are the components of the main-stream flow over the plate, with the understanding that the region of plate under consideration is that over which the main stream is uninfluenced by boundary-layer and wake effects arising from the cylinder itself.


Figure 2. Streamlines in the main stream for flow round a circular cylinder, the cylinder being mounted with its axis normal to a flat plate and downstream from the edge of the plate.

The quantities $A, B$ etc. may be deduced from expressions (6.1), followed by expansions in powers of $\xi$ to derive the coefficients which are required in expressions (3.10) and (3.12). With use of table 1, the properties of the boundary layer such as shear stress components and displacement thicknesses may then be evaluated, though hereafter only the shear stress will be considered.

In three-dimensional boundary layers, a feature of special interest is the extent of the departure of direction of flow in the layer from the direction of the main stream. Reference may be made for example to Rosenhead (1963, p. 474) for some discussion of the influence of this secondary flow in the boundary layer with regard to separation effects on swept wings. The calculation of the direction of the limiting streamlines on the plate is obtained from results for the components $\tau_{x}, \tau_{y}$ of the shear stress, in the directions $O x, O y$ respectively.

These are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\tau_{x}=\mu\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right]_{z=0}=\mu\left[\frac{U^{3}}{2 \nu a \xi}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} f_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\xi \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\xi^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right\}_{\eta=0}=\mu\left[\frac{U_{c}^{3}}{2 v a \xi}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{x}, \text { say, } \\
\tau_{y}=\mu\left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right]_{z=0}=\mu\left[\frac{V^{2} U}{2 \nu a \xi}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} g_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\xi \frac{\partial^{2} g_{1}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\xi^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right\}_{\eta=0}=\mu\left[\frac{U_{c}^{3}}{2 v a \xi}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{y}, \tag{6.2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

to order $\xi^{2}$. Thus at any point ( $\left.\xi, s\right)$, if $\alpha$ is the inclination of the streamline in the main stream to $O x$ and $\alpha+\epsilon$ is the corresponding angle for the limiting streamline through $(\xi, s)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \epsilon=\left(U \tau_{y}-V \tau_{x}\right) /\left(U \tau_{x}+V \tau_{y}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

| $s$ | $\xi$ | $T_{x}$ | $T_{y}$ | $\alpha$ | $\epsilon$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 02$ | 0-1859 | 0.0495 | $11 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 1$ |
|  | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 1643$ | 0.0589 | 12.5 | $7 \cdot 2$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 06$ | $0 \cdot 1420$ | 0.0691 | 13.3 | $12 \cdot 7$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 08$ | $0 \cdot 1191$ | 0.0802 | $14 \cdot 2$ | $19 \cdot 8$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.0958 | 0.0922 | $15 \cdot 1$ | 28.8 |
|  | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0.0725 | $0 \cdot 1051$ | $16 \cdot 1$ | $39 \cdot 3$ |
|  | 0.14 | 0.0493 | $0 \cdot 1189$ | $17 \cdot 2$ | $50 \cdot 3$ |
| 0.4 | 0.04 | 0.2154 | $0 \cdot 1080$ | $19 \cdot 6$ | 7.0 |
|  | 0.08 | 0. 1897 | $0 \cdot 1432$ | 21.5 | 15.5 |
|  | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0.1636 | $0 \cdot 1838$ | $23 \cdot 7$ | $24 \cdot 6$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 1375$ | 0.2306 | $26 \cdot 1$ | 33-1 |
|  | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.1115 | $0 \cdot 2842$ | $28 \cdot 8$ | 39•8 |
| $0 \cdot 6$ | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 2819$ | $0 \cdot 1399$ | 21-6 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.2770 | 0.1787 | $23 \cdot 1$ | $9 \cdot 7$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 2738$ | 0.2225 | $24 \cdot 8$ | $14 \cdot 3$ |
|  | 0.16 | $0 \cdot 2722$ | 0.2720 | $26 \cdot 6$ | $18 \cdot 4$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.2722 | 0.3278 | 28.5 | $20 \cdot 2$ |
| 0.8 | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 3482$ | $0 \cdot 1530$ | $20 \cdot 7$ | 3-1 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.3587 | 0.1878 | $21 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 8$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 3724$ | 0.2259 | $23 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 3$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 3891$ | 0.2676 | $24 \cdot 2$ | $10 \cdot 3$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 4093$ | 0.3133 | $25 \cdot 4$ | $12 \cdot 0$ |
| 1.0 | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 4047$ | $0 \cdot 1510$ | $18 \cdot 6$ | 1.9 |
|  | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 4237$ | $0 \cdot 1783$ | $19 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 5$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 4462$ | 0.2073 | $20 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 8$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 4721$ | 0.2380 | 20.9 | $5 \cdot 9$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 5019$ | $0 \cdot 2703$ | 21.7 | $6 \cdot 6$ |

Table 2. Values of $T_{x}, T_{y}, \alpha$ and $\epsilon$ for the case $n=1.5$ in curved flow over a flat plate due to disturbance by a cylinder (see $\S 6$ ). The direction of streamlines in the main stream, $\alpha$, and the deflexion of the limiting streamlines, $\epsilon$, are measured in degrees. The quantities $T_{x}, T_{v}$ are related to the shear stress components $\tau_{x}, \tau_{v}$ by

$$
\tau_{x}=\mu\left(U_{c}^{3} / 2 \nu a \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{x}, \quad \tau_{v}=\mu\left(U_{c}^{3} / 2 \nu a \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{v}
$$

Calculations were made for the case $n=1 \cdot 5$, and table 2 shows results for $T_{x}, T_{y}, \alpha$ and $\epsilon$ for various values of $\xi$ and $s$. Results (6.2), of course, each contains only the first three terms of an infinite series, and the question of the radius of convergence of the series arises. The coefficients in the series are functions of $s$,
and certainly for large values of $s$ the coefficients of $\xi^{r}(r \geqslant 1)$ tend to zero, and it may be expected that the series will then converge for $|\xi| \leqslant R(s)$, where $R(s)$ is large. In the limit as $s \rightarrow \infty, U=U_{c}, V=0$, and $\tau_{x}$ is given by the first term in (6.2). For small values of $s$, however, the only available guidance is obtained from the numerical values of $\left(\partial^{2} f_{1} / \partial \eta^{2}\right)_{\eta=0}$, etc., for various values of $s$. These are given in table 3, from which it seems reasonable to assume in table 2 that the calculations for the range of values of $\xi$ shown in each case should be acceptable.
The results for $s=0.2$ are particularly striking, since they indicate considerable deflexion of the limiting streamlines as $\xi$ increases, arising from pronounced decrease in the value of $T_{x}$, with increase in $T_{y}$. Indeed, $T_{x}$ becomes negative at $\xi=0 \cdot 2$, which would imply back flow in the boundary layer at this stage. The original boundary-layer approximation is then no longer valid, but it is interesting to note that experimental results for a related investigation on turbulent boundary layers by Hornung \& Joubert (1963) showed regions of strong back flow upstream from the cylinder, in consequence of the rise in pressure before the cylinder.

| $s$ | $\partial^{2} f_{1} / \partial \eta^{2}$ | $\partial^{2} f_{2} / \partial \eta^{2}$ | $\partial^{2} g_{1} / \partial \eta^{2}$ | $\partial^{2} g_{2} / \partial \eta^{2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.0 | -2.0771 | -7.4981 | 4.2708 | 4.5394 |
| 0.2 | -1.6421 | -4.9648 | 4.0654 | 3.7350 |
| 0.4 | -0.7841 | -1.0350 | 3.5440 | 1.9875 |
| 0.6 | -0.1069 | 0.8372 | 2.9037 | 0.4479 |
| 0.8 | 0.2488 | 1.1419 | 2.2952 | -0.4467 |
| 1.0 | 0.3831 | 0.9291 | 1.7782 | -0.8510 |
| 1.2 | 0.4035 | 0.6565 | 1.3568 | -0.9981 |
| 1.4 | 0.3746 | 0.4407 | 1.0162 | -1.0323 |
| 1.6 | 0.3286 | 0.2896 | 0.7395 | -1.0232 |
| 1.8 | 0.2803 | 0.1881 | 0.5124 | -1.0002 |
| 2.0 | 0.2356 | 0.1207 | 0.3241 | -0.9749 |

Table 3. Values of coefficients in expressions (6.2), evaluated at $\eta=0$, for the case $n=1.5$ in curved flow over a flat plate due to disturbance by a cylinder. The value of $\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\eta=0}$ is $0 \cdot 4696$.

For large values of $s$, the shear stress $\tau_{x}$ assumes the ordinary flat-plate value for a constant main stream, namely,

$$
\tau_{x}=0.4696 \mu\left(U_{c}^{3} / 2 \nu a \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

so that $T_{x}=0 \cdot 4696=\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\eta=0}$. Near the cylinder, however, $T_{x}$ assumes values both in excess and defect of this, by reason of the spatial variation of velocity of the main stream. It is of interest, therefore, to calculate the influence of the cylinder on the drag of a central section of plate, of depth $\xi_{1}$ (measured from the leading edge) and of span $2 s_{1}$, by evaluating the total excess $Q\left(\xi_{1}, s_{1}\right)$ of force over the force exerted by a uniform main stream, that is

$$
Q\left(\xi_{1}, s_{1}\right)=\int_{-s_{1}}^{s_{1}} \int_{0}^{\xi_{1}} \mu\left[\frac{U_{c}^{3} a^{3}}{2 v \xi}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[T_{x}-\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\eta=0}\right] d \xi d s
$$

The integrand may be expanded in powers of $\xi$, and one integration leads to the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\xi_{1}, s_{1}\right)=\mu\left(2 U_{c} a\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\xi_{1} / \nu\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(m_{0}+m_{1} \xi_{1}+m_{2} \xi_{1}^{2}\right), \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for small values of $\xi_{1}$, where the coefficients $m_{r}$ are functions of $s_{1}$. The integrals which determine the $m_{r}$ do not appear to respond to analytical evaluation, even in the limit $s_{1} \rightarrow \infty$. They have been evaluated numerically for the case

|  |  | $m_{0}$ | $m_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{1}$ | $m_{0}$ | $m_{2}$ |  |
| 1.0 | -0.1966 | -0.1378 | -0.0297 |
| 5.0 | -0.1078 | 0.1241 | 0.1002 |
| 10.0 | -0.0471 | 0.1394 | 0.0975 |
| 15.0 | -0.0247 | 0.1412 | 0.0971 |
| 20.0 | -0.0132 | 0.1416 | 0.0969 |
| 25.0 | -0.0062 | 0.1418 | 0.0969 |
| 30.0 | -0.0016 | 0.1419 | 0.0969 |
| $\lim s_{1} \rightarrow \infty$ | 0.0219 | 0.1423 | 0.0968 |

Table 4. Values of the coefficients $m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2}$, in result (6.4), for the case $n=1 \cdot 5$, in the determination of excess force on a section of plate arising from curved flow over the plate due to disturbance by a cylinder.
$n=1 \cdot 5$, and some results are displayed in table 4. In this instance, as $s_{1}$ increases, $m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2}$ eventually are all positive. Thus for sections of large span, namely $s_{1}>32$ approximately, $Q$ is positive for all values of $\xi_{1}$. However, since $m_{0}$ is negative for all values of $s_{1}$ less than $32, Q$ is negative for sufficiently small values of $\xi_{1}$, and even for all values of $\xi_{1}$ in the range $0<\xi_{1} \leqslant 0 \cdot 1, Q$ remains negative provided $s_{1}<18$.

The author wishes to express thanks to his colleague Dr G.Poots for his generous advice on the computations for this paper.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ In this section, literal suffixes do not refer to partial differentiation.

